

Rocky Hill, CT 06067

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 12/11/2003 Stephen M. Dershem QUANT1350-1 1929 10/735,119 (028248-2302) **EXAMINER** 7590 07/06/2006 Steven C. Bauman SANDERS, KRIELLION ANTIONETTE HENKEL CORPORATION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1001 Trout Brook Crossing 1714 Legal Department

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/735,119	DERSHEM ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kriellion A. Sanders	1714

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kriellion A. Sanders	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ses the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) equest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following a periods:				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. In (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN				
xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). IOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	. •	ected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)		
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 36-48.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the affidavit or other explanation.	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. Other:					
		Kriellion A. Sander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714	s O		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The incorporation of the phrase "consisting ssentially of into the claims presents a new issue requiring further consideration and search...